Attorney Docket No.LAGP116 US U.S. Patent Application No. 10/533,620 PCT Appl. PCT/EP2003/011346

Date: January 17, 2008

Remarks

This is in reply to the restriction requirement of December 17, 2007.

Group II, including claim 28, is elected with traverse.

It is not seen that the inventions are independent and/or distinct or lack unity of invention.

All claims are for a stereomicroscope having a particular illumination system including

arrangement of optical elements. Arrangement of optical elements is integral with and required

by the illumination system. Conversely, to be functional, the optical arrangement requires the

illumination system to be functional. The arrangement of the invention permits observation of

the illumination. The optical arrangements relate to embodiments of the illumination system and

vice versa and thus definitely relate to the same corresponding technical feature of the claimed

microscope.

Even if the restriction were proper, which it is not, it is clear that claim 28 is both generic

and a linking claim. Allowance of claim 28 requires that all claims be rejoined.

The restriction should be withdrawn

Respectfully submitted,

Michael L. Dunn

Registration No. 25,330

Simpson & Simpson, PLLC

5555 Main Street

Williamsville, NY 14221-5406

Telephone No. 716-626-1564

MLD/mjk

Dated: January 17, 2008

7